CUPP has met three times since the last faculty meeting. Among the issues we have discussed are the following.

**Strategic Curricular Planning**

As you know from previous minutes, CC and CUPP formed a joint subcommittee to discuss strategic curricular planning. The group has met a number of times, and has brought its ideas to the full CUPP and the full CC as well. The thinking of that group is described in a separate document in the facpack. We ask you to read this document in preparation for the Secretary’s Question.

**Strategic Planning Steering Committee/Strategic Planning and Budget Committee**

CUPP has discussed a number of issues with respect to this evolving committee:
- CUPP’s role in selecting faculty representatives to the work groups
- the timing issues involved in forming work groups, given that CUPP’s membership will change at the end of April, as will the elected members of the SPSC/ Budget Committee
- whether the elected members of the Strategic Planning and Budget committee should be tenured (this last will be the subject of discussion during the meeting after we vote on the experimental model)

**Supervision of Interdisciplinary Faculty**

As recorded in previous CUPP minutes, CUPP believes that there should be language in the handbook outlining the processes by which faculty who have teaching responsibilities in two or more departments are supervised. As there is little time left in the year and a well-crafted policy will need to be formed in consultation with many different constituencies, CUPP is placing this issue on its carryover agenda for next year.

**Faculty Governance Web Site**

Discussion and negotiations on this idea continue.

**Faculty Salary Information**

A constituent’s query about the disappearance of the scattergram led to a discussion on what information on faculty salaries should be published. Acknowledging the Provost’s argument that the scattergram doesn’t give very useful information because it does not give enough detail to allow a faculty member to see where he or she stands with respect to faculty in truly comparable situations, we are inclined to think at this point that a more comprehensive packet of faculty salary information, including the scattergram but also including comparison data with other
schools, internal salary information adjusted in various ways (e.g. years in rank, previous teaching experience, etc.) and so on. We continue to discuss this issue.

**Associate Dean Position**

Tom Griffith’s last year as Associate Dean is next year; a call for nominations for a faculty member to replace him will be going out soon.